|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Category | Description | Reviewers Comment | Action taken by reviewed group |
| Build | Could you clone from Git and build using the README file? | Because I not have the google cloud account, so I not try it, but they provide a video that work correctly. | Nothing to be added here. |
| Legibility | Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style? | Yes. The code style is ok. Add more comments will be better. | We have Added more comments to our code to better explain how different sections work. |
| Impleme ntaDon | is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/ safer to write funcDonally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstracDons? | I think it can be shorter. But for the AI project use keras and tensorflow, the python only a “configuration”. Mostly change that will not let the programme run faster. Computing complete by the framework. | The program cannot be made shorter, as the functions called in the trainer are necessary for computation. The producer and consumer files are already very condensed. |
| Maintain  ability | Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesDng cases? Are they readable? | No I seems not found any test unit. But it also not need it too, because that is all docker | We have not added any tests. |
| Require ments | Does the code fulfill the requirements? | This fulfill the requirement. |  |
| Other | Are there other things that stand out that can be improved? | Add a onekey scripts? | I am not exactly sure what onekey scripts are. |